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I’m Lotte Jaspers

• Founding Partner of Yellow Research
• Co-developed with Mette Skraastad and Aya van den Kroonenberg at Yellow Research
Webinar

WP ERC

Evaluation Criteria

Panels

B1 Forward projection

B2 Full Proposal

Start here

PI’s: Idea

PI’s:
Profile, Capacity, Expertise
Evaluation criteria
1. Important challenges
2. Ambitious objectives (Novel concept/approach)
3. High-risk/high/gain balance
4. Outlined scientific approach
5. Appropriate methodology and working arrangements for goals
6. Novel methodology
7. Timescales and Resources

Dynamics between Objectives & Methodology

Logical Framework
Grant Writing

Panel Review and their use of external in depth reviewers

2 step review – 1 step submission

Yellow Research

2 step review – 1 step submission

2 step review – 1 step submission

Deadlines Call 2023

Starters
October 2022
1.5m euro
PhD window:
2-7 years after PhD

Consolidators
Februari 2023
2m euro
PhD window:
7-12 years after PhD

Advanced
April 28, 2022
2.5m euro
April ..... 2023

Yellow Research
Application Form
Documents

Work Programme
- ERC Objectives & Principles
- Evaluation procedure & criteria:
  - Excellence as sole criterion
    - Scientific Project
    - Principal Investigator
    - PI profile and benchmark
    - Deadlines

Information for Applicants
- Writing instructions
- Panel structure
- Keywords

Template: Application Form
- Proposal template
  - Any word-processing format can be used because it is uploaded as a PDF

Check the Documents made available by your Grant Office

Yellow Research
Work Programme

ERC objectives & principles

H-Europe: to pursue ground-breaking, high-risk/ high-gain research
Advancing the frontiers of knowledge

A. Any field of science, engineering and scholarship
B. Encourages proposals of an multi/inter-disciplinary nature;
C. Pioneering proposals addressing new and emerging fields of research; or
D. Proposals introducing unconventional, innovative approaches and scientific inventions
E. Host institution in an EU Member State or an Associated Country
F. Grant is portable
• What would be the holy grail
• What would be ultimate goal for the field
  ➢ Implying publications in the highest multi-disciplinary journals in the field = *landmark publication*

High-risk/High-gain dimension

---

Opening: New Directions, Perspectives, Horizons

---

Yellow Research

---

Yellow Research
Sketch What a Breakthrough means to you

Why or How different from the groundbreaking dimension
The Panels

Panel structure for ERC calls 2021 and 2022

Physical Sciences and Engineering

Mathematics
- All areas of mathematics, pure and applied, plus mathematical foundations of computer science, mathematical physics and statistics
- PE1 Logic and foundations
- PE1_2 Algebra
- PE1_3 Number theory
- PE1_4 Algebraic and complex geometry
- PE1_5 Lie groups, Lie algebras
- PE1_6 Geometry and global analysis
- PE1_7 Topology
- PE1_8 Analysis
- PE1_9 Operator algebras and functional analysis
- PE1_10 ODE and dynamical systems
- PE1_11 Theoretical aspects of partial differential equations
- PE1_12 Mathematical physics
- PE1_13 Probability
- PE1_14 Statistics
- PE1_15 Discrete mathematics and combinatorics
- PE1_16 Mathematical aspects of computer science
- PE1_17 Numerical analysis
- PE1_18 Scientific computing and data analysis
- PE1_19 Control theory and optimisation
- PE1_20 Application of mathematics in sciences
- PE1_21 Application of mathematics in industry and society

Panel members develop strong scientific intuition about what is excellent and what is exceptional science.

The number of key words is indicative for the number of panel members.

Each number forms a key word or research area.

Each panel member is responsible for 1 or 2 key words.
A1 – form: Panels and Keywords

**Step 1: Initial registration: Primary and/or secondary panel**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Primary ERC Review Panel*</th>
<th></th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Secondary ERC Review Panel</td>
<td>(if applicable)</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Step 2: Initial registration: ERC Keyword 1: Mandatory link to primary panel**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>ERC Keyword 1*</th>
<th>As first keyword please choose one which is linked to the Primary Review Panel.</th>
</tr>
</thead>
</table>

**Step 3: Characterizing the subject of the proposal**

Please select, if applicable, the ERC keyword(s) that best characterise the subject of your proposal in order of priority.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>ERC Keyword 2</th>
<th>Not applicable</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>ERC Keyword 3</td>
<td>Not applicable</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>ERC Keyword 4</td>
<td>Not applicable</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Step 4: Indicating Scope & Selecting Remote Reviewers**

In addition, please enter free text keywords that you consider best characterise the scope of your research proposal. The choice of keywords should take into account any multi-disciplinary aspects of the proposal.

Yellow Research

Dig deeper on our Online Platform:

**Section: Evaluation Procedure & Criteria:**
- Evaluation Procedure in detail
- Select the Right Panel & interdisciplinary research
- ERC Keywords
- Exclusion of Panel Members
Evaluation

Questions

Research Project - B1a Extended Synopsis

Scientific Excellence: Ground-breaking nature, Ambition and Feasibility

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th><strong>Ground-breaking nature and potential impact of the research project</strong></th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>1. <em>To what extent</em> does the proposed research address <strong>important challenges</strong>?</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2. <em>To what extent</em> are the <strong>objectives ambitious</strong> and beyond <strong>State of the Art</strong> (e.g. novel concepts &amp; approaches or development across disciplines)?</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3. <em>To what extent</em> is the proposed research <strong>high-risk / high-gain</strong> (i.e. if successful the payoffs will be very significant, but there is a higher-than-normal risk that the research project does not entirely fulfil its aims)?</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Scientific Approach**

| 4. *To what extent* is the outlined **scientific approach feasible** bearing in mind that the proposed research is high-risk / high-gain (based on the Extended Synopsis)? |
# Research Project – B2 Full Proposal

## Scientific Excellence: Ground-breaking nature, Ambition and Feasibility

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Ground-breaking nature and potential impact of the research project</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>1. To what extent does the proposed research address important challenges?</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2. To what extent are the objectives ambitious and beyond State of the Art (e.g. novel concepts &amp; approaches or development across disciplines)?</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3. To what extent is the proposed research high-risk / high-gain (i.e. if successful the payoffs will be very significant, but there is a higher-than-normal risk that the research project does not entirely fulfil its aims)?</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

## Scientific Approach

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Scientific Approach</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>5. To what extent are the proposed research methodology and working arrangements appropriate to achieve the goals of the project (B2)?</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>6. To what extent does the proposal involve the development of novel methodology? (B2)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>7. To what extent are the proposed timescales, resources and PI commitment adequate and properly justified? (B2)</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

---

**Dissecting the evaluation criteria layer by layer**

[Diagram showing a layered structure]
**Research Project (call 2022)**

**Scientific Excellence: Ground-breaking nature, Ambition and Feasibility**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Ground-breaking nature and potential impact of the research project</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td><strong>1. To what extent does the proposed research address important challenges?</strong></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**What and Why**

Big Research Question / Scientific Challenge

**Why Important**

Mathematical Impact on research area and other areas and perhaps on applications or other fields

---

**Research Project (call 2022)**

**Scientific Excellence: Ground-breaking nature, Ambition and Feasibility**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Ground-breaking nature and potential impact of the research project</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td><strong>2. To what extent are the objectives ambitious and beyond State of the Art (e.g. novel concepts &amp; approaches or development across disciplines)?</strong></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Ambitious**

Big Research Question / Scientific Challenge

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Objective</th>
<th>Objective</th>
<th>Objective</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Ground-breaking</td>
<td>Ground-breaking</td>
<td>Ground-breaking</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Impact on Mathematics
Research Project (call 2022)

Scientific Excellence: Ground-breaking nature, Ambition and Feasibility

Ground-breaking nature and potential impact of the research project

3. To what extent is the proposed research high-risk / high-gain (i.e. if successful the payoffs will be very significant, but there is a higher-than-normal risk that the research project does not entirely fulfil its aims)?

Big Research Question / Scientific Challenge

Is the foundation robust enough to build a high-risk / high-gain project upon?

Scientific Approach

5. To what extent are the proposed research methodology and working arrangements appropriate to achieve the goals of the project (B2)
### Research Project (call 2022)

**Scientific Excellence: Ground-breaking nature, Ambition and Feasibility**

#### Scientific Approach

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>Question</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>5.</td>
<td>To what extent are the proposed <strong>research methodology</strong> and <strong>working arrangements</strong> appropriate to achieve the <strong>goals</strong> of the project (B2)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>6.</td>
<td>To what extent does the proposal involve the development of <strong>novel methodology</strong>? (B2)</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

---

### Research Project (call 2022)

**Scientific Excellence: Ground-breaking nature, Ambition and Feasibility**

#### Scientific Approach

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>Question</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>5.</td>
<td>To what extent are the proposed <strong>research methodology</strong> and <strong>working arrangements</strong> appropriate to achieve the <strong>goals</strong> of the project (B2)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>6.</td>
<td>To what extent does the proposal involve the development of <strong>novel methodology</strong>? (B2)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>7.</td>
<td>To what extent are the proposed <strong>timescales</strong>, <strong>resources</strong> and <strong>PI commitment</strong> adequate and <strong>properly justified</strong>? (B2)</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

---

**Yellow Research**

---

**TARGET**

<p>| | |</p>
<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th></th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>M1</td>
<td>M10</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>M20</td>
<td>M30</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>M40</td>
<td>M50</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>M60</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
NO NETWORK, NO CONSORTIUM

BUT

OWN TEAM

ERC Team

PI

supervision track-record determines

PhD1, PhD2, Pd1, Pd2, Staff, Technician, …

In addition: experts

- in house collaborators
- visiting scientists
- external collaborators
- country experts
- other

Names Matter!
Research Project (call 2022)
Scientific Excellence: Ground-breaking nature, Ambition and Feasibility

Starters: 50% minimum
Consolidators: 40% minimum
Advanced: 30% minimum

Scientific Approach

5. To what extent are the proposed research methodology and working arrangements appropriate to achieve the goals of the project (B2)?

6. To what extent does the proposal involve the development of novel methodology? (B2)

7. To what extent are the proposed timescales, resources and PI commitment adequate and properly justified? (B2)

Research Project (call 2022)
Scientific Excellence: Ground-breaking nature, Ambition and Feasibility

The minimum is mandatory
Increased commitment good practise
Don’t go to 100%

Scientific Approach

5. To what extent are the proposed research methodology and working arrangements appropriate to achieve the goals of the project (B2)?

6. To what extent does the proposal involve the development of novel methodology? (B2)

7. To what extent are the proposed timescales, resources and PI commitment adequate and properly justified? (B2)
Principal Investigator (2022 call):

Scientific Excellence: Intellectual capacity and Creativity

1. All

2. StG CoG

3. All To what extent does the PI have the required scientific expertise and capacity to successfully execute the project?

4. AdG

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Exceptional (5)</th>
<th>Outstanding (4)</th>
<th>Excellent (3)</th>
<th>Very good (2)</th>
<th>Non-competitive (1)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
</table>

Carefully Balance

Avoid incremental impression

Balance feasibility because of track record / profile and preliminary data versus high-risk dimension of the proposal

New Direction, Paradigm shift
To Reflect Upon

How Do the Evaluation Questions structure the Writing of B2?

What is Unique in your Track Record that explains Why You are: “The PI for the Project”

Why is What you Do Ambitious even for You

Dig deeper on our Online Platform:

**Section: Evaluation Procedure & Criteria:**
- Evaluation Criteria
- Checklist per Evaluation Question
COFFEE BREAK UNTIL ....

Section B2a: State-of-the-Art and Objectives
The Logic Tree for Grant Writing

Big Research Question

Objective 1  Objective 2  Objective 3

Research Design or Plan

Impact on Mathematics

The basic skeleton

INTRIGUE
The Logic Tree for Grant Writing

Big Research Question / Scientific Challenge

Novel Concept => Novel Approach
Key hypothesis

Overarching Aim …

Objective 1  Objective 2  Objective 3

Research Design or Plan

Impact on Mathematics

ERC Vocabulary

for example

Rationale
Challenge, Big Research Question

State of the Art
Ambition, Problem, Gap, Limitations

Preliminary evidence (case studies, pilot project, experiments, statistics, computer simulations, etc)

Concept / Idea, Model, Hypothesis, Approach
Identify important challenges

1. To what extent does the proposed research **address important challenges**?

- Your future research vision?
- What is the challenge/problem?
  - It’s dimensions
  - Why *scientifically urgent*
- Why not incremental?
- What is the Big Research Question or Ultimate Goal?
  - What is the novel creative dimension e.g. *Concept, Approach*
- Why are you able to potentially address this challenge?

---

**Big Research Question**

*Chicago Style*

**So What is It that will Open**

**New Research Horizons**

**Conceptual**
- What Must We Understand
  - New Concepts, Descriptions
  - New Framework
  - New systems, phenomena

**Theoretical**
- What Must We Understand
  - New Theoretical Framework
  - New Theory, Models
  - New Empirics/Experiments

**Applied**
- What must we Know before we can Do
  - ....
  - ....
  - ....
The importance of Concept and Approach

- It points to what we have overlooked, missed, misinterpreted, didn’t know, ....
- They form the bridge from current frontier to new horizon
- The concept is implemented through the approach,
  - A novel concept is implemented through a novel approach!

Specify Concept

*Theoretical dimension*

- Explains the underlying idea
- What is innovative/unconventional in the "concept"?
- What evidence supports the feasibility of the concept?
- Capture the concept in a figure or highlight it clearly in the text
Specify Approach

Methodological, Technical dimension

- It provides the methodological approach to explore the concept underlying the Big Research Question
  - *e.g. empirical versus theoretical, qualitative / descriptive versus quantitative and so on*

- Include preliminary evidence to support feasibility of the novel approach

Preliminary evidence

- What is it?
  - Your obtained evidence; just published or unpublished
  - Any kind of form: data, experiments, pilot projects, algorithm, etc

- Why important because:
  - Provides evidence why your ideas are robust enough so that you may succeed (where others have not been successful yet)

- What is it not?
  - When the project becomes incremental

- When is it not enough?
  - In case of controversial issues; accepted publications may be needed
To Reflect Upon

Role of Concept and Approach in a Grant Application

What is there to consider for your Proposal, your Field, your Reviewer in terms of:

- Terminology (concept, approach, hypothesis, model, framework)
- Novelty
- Feasibility
The Logic Tree for Grant Writing

Big Research Question

Objective 1
- Question
- Question
- Question

Aim 2
- Question
- Question

Specific Question 3
- Objective:
- Question
- Question
- Objective / aim

Contextualizing
Theoretical – Conceptual understanding

Objectives

Template B2: “Specify clearly the objectives of the proposal, in the context of the State of the Art in the field”

- Generating Understanding / Knowledge, not activity based
- Limited in Number, each with a Specific Research Design
- Dynamic, not linear in time
- Each is Groundbreaking

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Synthesis message:</th>
<th>1</th>
<th>A</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Why are all objectives needed to obtain the Big Picture</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Partial Progress:</th>
<th>2</th>
<th>B</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Why will partial progress of the objectives still yield important insights for the Big Picture?</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
To Reflect Upon

Role of Objectives
What is there to consider for your:

- Preferred terminology: objectives, aims, questions, goals
- Level of detail needed to contextualize each objective. Is it a central narrative for all of them or is it better to contextualize per objective
- What are the consequences for the entire design of the project if these are dynamic in their interaction

Dig deeper on our Online Platform:

Section: B2 – Full Proposal
- B2 Introduction to Writing B2
- B2a Important Challenge
- B2a Specific Objectives, Aims, Questions
- B2 State of the Art
Section B2b: Methodology

The Logic Tree for Grant Writing

Big Research Question

- Objective 1
  - Question
  - Question
  - Question

- Aim 2
  - Objective: Question
  - Question

- Specific Question
  - Objective / aim

CONVINCE,
It is all about the detail 7 to 9 pages!

Technical Research Design

WP 1
- What
- Why
- How

WP 2
- What
- Why
- How

WP 3
- What
- Why
- How

CONVINCE,
It is all about the detail 7 to 9 pages!

Technical Research Design

WP 1
- What
- Why
- How

WP 2
- What
- Why
- How

WP 3
- What
- Why
- How
Vocabulary – Methodology

Impact Analysis:
Synthesis incl team strategy

- *Groundbreaking contributions* per WP

Material, Cases, Experiments...

- *Preliminary data?*

WPs, Strands, Thrusts, Lines of inquiry

- *Alternatives* for proposed activities

Strategy per WP...

- *Activities* – How it will be done, methods, techniques, tools

Tasks – What will be done

What is Research Methodology
(in the context of writing an ERC grant)

The plan of action

- To achieve each objective and ultimate goal
- To test the hypothesis(es)
- Logical structure of WPs, strands, etc
  - With clearly specified tasks
  - Each task has clear activities which specify:
    - Methods, Techniques, Tools……
    - Rationale of proposed methods
    - Why these and not others
    - Particulars for data collection/experimental conduct as well as development of novel methods, techniques
    - Particulars on the analysis of the data

Yellow Research
Dynamic Feedback Loops 1

Graphical overview of the Research Design

Each WP has a strategic purpose, specific concepts

22/03/2022
To Reflect Upon

What are Key feasibility issues when setting up the Research Design

Section B2: Wrap Up
Wrapping Up – taking account of the evaluation questions

**Ground-breaking nature and potential impact of the research project**

1. To what extent does the proposed research address important challenges?
2. To what extent are the objectives ambitious and beyond S-o-t-A (e.g. novel concepts & approaches or development across disciplines)?
3. To what extent is the proposed research high-risk / high-gain (i.e. if successful the payoffs will be very significant, but there is a higher-than-normal risk that the research project does not entirely fulfil its aims)?

**Scientific Approach**

4. To what extent is the outlined scientific approach feasible bearing in mind that the proposed research is high-risk / high-gain (based on the Extended Synopsis)?
5. To what extent are the proposed research methodology and working arrangements appropriate to achieve the goals of the project (B2)?
6. To what extent does the proposal involve the development of novel methodology? (B2)
7. To what extent are the proposed timescale, resources and PI commitment adequate and properly justified? (B2)
Dig deeper on our Online Platform:

Section: B2 – Full Proposal
- B2b Methodology
- B2b Impact Analysis
- B2c Resources

Section B1
Cover Page
Writing the Abstract

**Opening:** Challenge or Big Question and Novel Preliminary Evidence or Concept

**Heart:** Explain the structure e.g. Objectives or Work Packages and their novelty

**Conclude:** Breakthrough Potential

**Graphical Summary**
1) the heart of the proposal or 2) preliminary evidence or 3) key concept

---

**B1 Cover Page – Enhanced Effectiveness**

1. **Title**
   - Reflect Challenge

2. **Acronym**
   - Descriptive – short title

3. **Duration**
   - You need 60 months

4. **Summary**
   - Intrigue, Convince, Inspire

Search “ERC Funded Projects” for Abstracts
A1 – Abstract
Panel Chair and External Reviewer

The panels
LS
1,2,3,4, 5,6,7,8, 9

ERC

PE
1,2,3,4, 5,6,7,8, 9,10,11

SH
1,2,3,4, 5,6,7

Panel chair is:
Keywords 1-4

External Reviewer:
Free Keywords

- Intrigue
- Convince
- Inspire

Section B1a:
Extended Synopsis
### Create a Storyboard for B1 and B2

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>B1 Extended synopsis</th>
<th>B2 Full Scientific Proposal</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td><strong>P1 – P2</strong></td>
<td><strong>P1 – P4/6</strong></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Challenge, Novel Concept and Approach, Hypothesis, Objectives, Preliminary data</td>
<td>Challenge, Novel Concept and Approach, Hypothesis, Objectives, Preliminary data</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>P3 – P5</strong></td>
<td><strong>P5/7 – 13</strong></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Research Design: WPs, including Tasks Activities</td>
<td>Research Design Tasks Activities</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>P5</strong></td>
<td><strong>P14</strong></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Impact Analysis: 7 lines Breakthrough Key Intermediate goals Critical path analysis Team Strategy</td>
<td>Impact Analysis: Breakthrough Key Intermediate goals Critical path analysis Team Strategy</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>No</td>
<td>Resources: Online forms are added to 14 page B2</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Resources:** only Online not added to B1

---

### Section B1B,C: CV and Track-Record
Principal Investigator (2022 call):

**Scientific Excellence: Intellectual capacity and Creativity**

1. **All**
   To what extent has the PI demonstrated the ability to propose and conduct ground-breaking research?

2. **StG CoG**
   To what extent does the PI provide evidence of creative independent thinking?

3. **All**
   To what extent does the PI have the required **scientific expertise and capacity** to successfully **execute** the project?

4. **AdG**
   To what extent has the PI demonstrated sound leadership in training and advancement of young scientists?

| Exceptional (5) | Outstanding (4) | Excellent (3) | Very good (2) | Non-competitive (1) |

Source: ERC WP 2020/1
Principal Investigator (2022 call):

Scientific Excellence: Intellectual capacity and Creativity

1. **All**  
   *To what extent* has the PI demonstrated the ability to propose and conduct ground-breaking research?.

**CV:**  
- Biosketch – Scientific Profile  
- Key contributions

**Track-Record:**  
- Include per publication a narrative on the groundbreaking nature

Exceptional (5)  Outstanding (4)  Excellent (3)  Very good (2)  Non-competitive (1)

Source: ERC WP 2020/1

---------------

Principal Investigator (2022 call):

Scientific Excellence: Intellectual capacity and Creativity

2. **StG**  
   *To what extent* does the PI provide evidence of creative independent thinking?.

**CV:**  
- Biosketch – Scientific Profile  
- Current position  
- Funding obtained

**Track-Record:**  
- Main authorship: 1st or last author, corresponding author, …

Exceptional (5)  Outstanding (4)  Excellent (3)  Very good (2)  Non-competitive (1)
3. All

To what extent does the PI have the required **scientific expertise** and **capacity** to successfully **execute** the project?

And, it all begins with an idea…

Thank you